

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/739,929	12/18	3/2000	Thomas N. Marieb	42390P10637	4878		
8791	7590 12/23/2003		٠,٠	EXAM	EXAMINER		
		F TAYLOR & Z	HOANG, QUOC DINH				
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				2818			

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u> </u>						
			Application No. Applicant(s)							
4 Office Action Comment		09/739	9,929	MARIEB ET AL.						
10	Office Action Summary	Exami	n r	Art Unit	aw					
) Hoang	2818						
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on	the cover sheet with the c	correspond nc add	dress					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. FOR 1.136(a). In no cation. ays, a reply within the sory period will apply an , by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply be tin statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. mmunication.					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>21 October 2</u>	<u>002</u> .							
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.									
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	ion of Claims									
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-10 and 12-30</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
·	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-10 and 12-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
	ion Papers	or to restriction e	ind/or election requireme	,,,,,						
	_	i								
•	The specification is objected to by the E		h) objected to by the	Evaminer						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12)										
Attachmen				(DTO 415) 5						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape		4) Interview Summary5) Notice of Informal F6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/739,929

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/21/2002 has been entered.

Response to Preliminary Amendment

2. Preliminary Amendment filed on 10/21/2002 has been entered. In Preliminary Amendment, claim 30 is newly added. Claims 1-4, 7-9, 10 and 12-30 are pending in the application.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 7-9 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 7 depends on cancelled claim 5. Appropriate correction is required.

Election/Restrictions

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1) A method of forming a Cu alloy (claims 1-4) that reflects to Figure 1, 2) A method of forming a Cu alloy (claims 10 and 12-18) that reflects to Figure 4, and 3) A method of forming a Cu alloy (claims 19-30) that reflects to Figure 6.

?

3

Application/Control Number: 09/739,929

Art Unit: 2818

Ļ

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to on to request an oral election to the above restriction, but did not result an in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/739,929 Page 4

Art Unit: 2818

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quoc Hoang whose telephone number is (571) 272-1780. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8.00 AM to 5.00 PM. If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers of the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Quoc Hoang December 15, 2003

> HOA! HO PRIMARY EXAMINER